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Problem statement 1/2

• REDD+ an important instrument for carbon sequestration

• In addition to mitigation objective, it is expected to deliver development benefits – plus in REDD (Article 2, UNFCCC convention)

• REDD could have +ve effects (e.g. improve forest governance) or –ve (e.g. reverse people’s rights)

• Thus, there is growing emphasis on social safeguards – to protect vulnerable groups
Problem statement 2/2

• World Bank requires REDD preparedness to ensure participation and influence by marginalized groups – substantive representation

• Due to lack of political power, indigenous peoples needs are often not met

• To what extent did the REDD preparation in Uganda create conditions for substantive representation?

• To show this, I analyse not only who participates, but also the ‘why’ of participation
Methods

- Employed studying Up (Nader 1974) – to examine how intervening agencies chose and justified whom they worked with in Uganda’s R-PP
- 67 KI interviews
- Document analysis
- Observations in 16 high level policy meetings
- Study done in 2012-13 with additional interviews in 2014
## Key Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution selected/created</th>
<th>Basis of Choice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| National Forestry Authority (NFA) (in place of FSSD) | • Considered less bureaucratic  
• Capacity & efficiency |
| REDD Working Group (27 Ministry officials; 27 NGO officials; 5 university/research; 4 private companies) | • Call thro Forest Working Group- largely NGO network- self selection  
• Technical nature of REDD (NGOs expertise on climate change)  
• Feasibility, convenience and less costly (only $ 75,000 available)  
• WB preference for small No. of experts |
| REDD Secretariat | • A small team of experts domiciled at NFA (efficiency); later (in 2013) moved to FSSD |
| REDD steering committee | Expertise on climate change; ministerial departments with a bearing on climate change |
Key Findings

- R-PP stakeholder consultation privileged central agencies and experts – central govt (32%); local govt envt officers (28%); NGOs (12%); private companies (10%); local people’s reps (6%)
- Invitation of participants based on what they would be able to offer in R-PP development (instrumental goal)
- Resources not commensurate with expectations e.g. 3 months timeline and one sixth of USD 200,000 for consultations
Conclusions/Recommendations

• Substantive democracy is trumped in Uganda’s REDD+ R-PP by a focus on instrumental outcomes of REDD+ which were: i) to train participants on REDD ii) legitimize REDD actions in eyes of donors iii) To promote and ensure the speedy achievement of the REDD+ programme’s objectives

• Exclusion of local actors presents a potential challenge of designing a REDD+ strategy that may not address the critical safeguards necessary to secure vulnerable groups

• Need for real time assessments to enforce adherence to representation commitments

• formal accountability mechanisms are both necessary and desirable to ensure that the claims to representation are not displaced

• Commitments needs to be matched with resource allocations